



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,503	07/09/2001	Rodney Schmidt	TT4055	4904
23720 7	7590 04/19/2004	EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.			CASIANO, ANGEL L	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE HOUSTON, TX 77042	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	4 <i>8</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ple
-1 .	Application No	Applicant(s)
	09/901,503	SCHMIDT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angel L. Casiano	2182
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ututory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 29 January 2004.	
,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 20</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-10,12 and 21</u> is/are reje	ected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7,13-19 and 22</u> is/are obj	ected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2	<i>004</i> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	Application No
	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies	• •	
•	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/901,503

Art Unit: 2182

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The present Office Action is in response to Amendment dated 29 January 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

Drawings

3. Objections to the Drawings have been overcome with the corrections filed in the present Amendment (see Replacement sheet for Figure 2).

Specification

4. Objection to the Specification has been overcome with the corrections filed in the present Amendment.

Claim Objections

5. Objection to claim 7 has been overcome with the corrections filed in the present Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Application/Control Number: 09/901,503

Art Unit: 2182

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 8-10, 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koenck et al. [US 6,014,705] in view of Lipe et al. [US 5,784,615].

Regarding claim 1, Koenck et al. teaches a communication system (see col. 2, lines 17-19; col. 9, lines 45-47; col. 12, lines 24-30). The disclosure by Koenck et al. includes physical layer hardware (see Fig. 1B, "259") adapted to communicate (see "wireless", col. 10, lines 28-29) data over a communications channel (see col. 10, lines 24-25) in accordance to control codes (see "control signals", col. 8, line 51; col. 9, lines 53-54). The physical layer hardware is disclosed by the prior art as being a modem (see Fig. 1B, "259"). By definition, a modem is adapted to convert digital pulses to analog signals and vice versa (modulate-demodulate). Accordingly, the cited physical layer in the reference is adapted to demodulate an incoming analog signal and generate a digital receive signal and to modulate a digital transmit signal to generate an analog transmit signal. Koenck et al. also includes a processing unit (see "microprocessor", Abstract; Fig. 1A). The cited driver (see "program") includes instructions for implementing a protocol layer (see Figs. 1B, 1C; col. 9, line 57) based on control codes (see Abstract). However, Koenck et al. does not explicitly teach a privileged driver for interfacing with the physical layer hardware, as claimed. Nonetheless, Lipe et al. teaches a driver for interfacing with a physical layer hardware (see "modem"). Lipe et al. teaches a privileged driver (see col. 2, lines 10-32). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the cited disclosures in order to obtain a system implementing protected mode Art Unit: 2182

processing unit (see Lipe et al. "microprocessor") having controlled access to operating system data structures (see Lipe et al.).

In consideration of claim 8, Koenck et al. teaches control instructions including frequency assignment (inherent, see col. 8, lines 30-35; col. 9, lines 27-31) and time slot assignment (inherent, see col. 4, lines 64-67; col. 5, lines 1-13).

As per claim 9, Koenck et al. teaches a processing unit as being a microprocessor (see Abstract).

As for claim 10, Koenck et al. teaches a system (see Abstract) as well as a processing complex adapted to execute a code. A bus is coupled to the processor complex (see Figs. 1 (A-C)). The cited prior art includes an expansion card (see Fig. 2; col. 11, lines 49-61) coupled to the bus. The expansion card includes the physical layer hardware (see "modem", col. 4, lines 10-12; col. 33, lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 12, this constitutes the method for configuring a transceiver in a communication system. As stated in previously in the present Office Action, the combination of references (Koenck et al. in view of Lipe et al.; see claim 1) teaches the system for the method in claim 12. Therefore, the combination of references also teaches the method oriented to the transceiver in the cited system. Claim 12 is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 21, Koenck et al. teaches a communication system (see col. 2, lines 17-19; col. 9, lines 45-47; col. 12, lines 24-30). The cited art teaches physical layer hardware as being a Application/Control Number: 09/901,503 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

modem (see Fig. 1B, "259"). By definition, a modem is adapted to convert digital pulses to analog signals and vice versa (modulate-demodulate). Accordingly, the cited physical layer in the reference is adapted to demodulate an incoming analog signal and generate a digital receive signal and to modulate a digital transmit signal to generate an analog transmit signal. Koenck et al. also includes a processing unit (see "microprocessor", Abstract; Fig. 1A). The cited driver (see "program") includes instructions for implementing a protocol layer (see Figs. 1B, 1C; col. 9,

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-7, 13-19 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 11 and 20 are allowed.

line 57) based on control codes (see Abstract).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 29 January 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1, 8-10, 11 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lipe et al. [US 5,784,615].

Application/Control Number: 09/901,503 Page 6

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Reiser [US 6,718,485 B1] teaches decoding instructions and examining values in machine registers. In addition, it discloses privileged instructions ("system calls").
- Feagans [US 6,360,281 B1] teaches a soft modem. In addition, the reference exposes privilege levels for code performing functions.
- Glew et al. [US 5,948,097] teaches method and apparatus for changing privilege levels in a computer system.
- Ooi et al. [US 5,347,636] teaches a register indicating he privilege level of a current instruction execution.
- Schiffleger [US 5,371,879] teaches a program executing privileged instructions while operating in user mode.
- Yamahata [US 5,247,639] teaches privilege instructions.
- Sakai [JP 01147723 A] teaches information processing having privilege instructions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angel L. Casiano whose telephone number is 703-305-8301. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,503

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

alc

14 April 2004.

Page 7